FAO No. 25 of 1995

2.7.2018 Present: Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate vice counsel, for the appellants.
Mr. S.D. Vasudeva, Advocate, for the respondents.

CMP No. 6007 of 2018

By way of instant application filed under Section 151 of CPC, a prayer has been made for correction in the cause-title. It appears that inadvertently, FAO number has been wrongly mentioned in the cause title as FAO No. 25 of 2015 instead of 25 of 1995.

Accordingly, in view of the above, present application is allowed and Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction in terms of prayer made in the application at hand. Application stands disposed of.

CMP No. 4222 of 2018

In the application at hand, prayer has been made to discharge the respondent/claimant No.1 from guardianship as applicants/appellants No.2 and 3 i.e. Sapna Devi and Renu Bala have attained majority. They have also prayed that they may be allowed to prosecute the instant case in their independent capacity.

Averments contained in the application as well as documents placed therewith, clearly suggest that aforesaid applicants/respondents No.2 and 3, have attained majority and as such, they are entitled to prosecute the case in their independent capacity.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the application, which is duly supported by the affidavits, respondent No.1 namely Smt. Sureshta Devi, is discharged from

guardianship and applicants are allowed to prosecute the case in their independent capacity. Application stands disposed of.

CMP No. 4221of 2018

By way of instant application filed under Section 151 of CPC, a prayer has been made on behalf of applicants/respondents No.2 and 3, for release of amount lying deposited in the Registry of this Court as per their share. Mr. Vinod Gupta, learned vice counsel appearing for non-applicant/appellant states that he does not intend to file any reply to the application and has no objection in case, amount lying deposited in the Registry of this Court, is ordered to be released in favour of the applicants/respondents No. 2 and 3, strictly as per their share.

Averments contained in the application suggest that appeal having been filed by the non-applicant/appellant was decided on 12.8.2004, whereafter no appeal whatsoever was filed in the superior court of law.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, this Court sees no impediment in accepting the prayer, having been made by the applicant/respondents No. 2 and 3 and as such, same is allowed and Registry is directed to release the amount lying deposited in the Registry of this Court with up to date interest in favour of the applicants/respondents No.2 and 3 as per their share by remitting the same in their saving bank account, detail whereof has been given in para-4 of the

application, subject to verification by the accounts Branch.

Application stands disposed of.

2nd July, 2018 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
manjit **Judge**